

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/025,701	12/26/2001	Koji Matsuo	KOJIM-443	7507
23599 7	590 03/30/2005		EXAMINER	
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.			HOFFMANN, JOHN M	
2200 CLAREN SUITE 1400	IDON BLVD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON,	VA 22201		. 1731	

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

તં	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-,/				
Advisory Action	10/025,701	MATSUO ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John Hoffmann	1731					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 22 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application in Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advicevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that 	an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must the final rejection.	evidence, which place e with 37 CFR 41.31; st be filed within one of e final rejection, whicheve	es the or (3) a of the following				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because							
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further conditions. (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in betometer. 	nsideration and/or search (see NOw);	TE below);	i				
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	` ''	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2 and 10-15</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	•	• • • • • • • •					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application if	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	John Hoffmann Filmary Examiner Art Unit: 1731	-25.09				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new issue is whether there are other parts of the amendment which are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121 (see below) Other issues: whether the changes to claim 2, lines 2-3, claim 10, lines 2-3 and claim 11, lines 2-3 would make one or more of the claims allowable.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments were not convincing. It is argued that nothing in Fujiwara suggests the same defects of the VAD method occurs in the direct method; that nothing in the Fujiwara suggests the methods should be interchanged. The rejection clearly points to the portion of Fujiwara which discloses the advantageous "high light transmittance" furthermore, col. 8, lines 38-41 of Fujiwara discloses that the high light transmittance at 190 nm was obtained "for the first time" by using the VAD. Fujiwara clearly suggests the VAD has an improvement over the direct method.

Application No. Applicant(s) Notice of Non-Compliant MATSUO ET AL. 10/025,701 Examiner **Art Unit** Amendment (37 CFR 1.121) John Hoffmann 1731 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --The amendment document filed on 22 March 2005 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required. THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.

B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other _____. 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other _____. 3. Amendments to the drawings: ☐ A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). ☐ B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. ☐ C. Other ____. □ 4. Amendments to the claims: A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order.

For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714 and the USPTO website at http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf.

E. Other: There is a claim 10 - and indication that claim 10 is cancelled. It is unclear which is desired...

TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

- 1. Applicant is given **no new time period** if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the **entire corrected amendment** must be resubmitted within the time period set forth in the final Office action.
- 2. Applicant is given **one month**, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the **corrected section** of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action.

Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action.

Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:

Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action; or

Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.